The Privacy and Security Behaviors of Smartphone App Developers Carnegie Mellon University CyLab

Engineering & Public Policy

Rebecca Balebako, Abigail Marsh, Jialiu Lin, Jason Hong, Lorrie Faith Cranor



App Developer decisions

- Privacy and Security features compete with
 - Features requested by customers
 - Data requested by financers
 - Revenue model



Research Project

- Exploratory Interviews
- Quantitative on-line study

Findings

- Small companies lack privacy and security behaviors
- Small company developers rely on social ties for advice
- Legalese hinders reading and writing of privacy policies
- Third-Party tools heavily used

Participant Recruitment

- 13 developers interviewed
- Recruited through craigslist and Meetups
- \$20 for one-hour interview

Participant Demographics

- Variety of revenue models
 - Advertising
 - Subscription
 - Pay-per-use
 - Non-Profit
- Seven different states
- Small company size well-represented

Tools impact privacy and security

- Interviewees do:
 - Use cloud computing
 - Use authentication tools such as Facebook
 - Use analytics such as Google and Flurry
 - Use open source tools such as mysql

Tools not used

- Interviewees don't use or are unaware of:
 - Use privacy policy generators
 - Use security audits
 - Read third-party privacy policies
 - Delete data



On-line surveys

- 228 app developers
- Paid \$5 (avg: 15 minutes)
- Recruited through craigslist, reddit, Facebook, backpage.com
- Developer demographics
 - Majority were 'Programmer or Software Engineer' or 'Product or Project Manager'
 - Avg age: 30 (18-50 years)

Company demographics

- Platforms
 - iOS (62%)
 - Android (62%)
 - Windows (17%)
 - Blackberry (4%)
 - Palm (3%)
- Large Company Size well-represented

Data collected or stored

Behavior	Collect or Store
Parameters specific to my app	84%
Which apps are installed	74%
Location	72%
Sensor information (not location-related)	63%

Privacy and security behaviors

Behavior	Percent
Use SSL	84%
Encrypt everything (all data collected)	57%
Have CPO or equivalent	78%
Privacy Policy on website	58%

• Room for improvement!

Company size and behaviors



Who do you turn to?



Who do you turn to?



Ad and analytics heavily used

- 87.4% use at least one analytics company
- 86.5% use at least one advertising company

Third-party tools

PRIVACY ON THE GO

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE MOBILE ECOSYSTEM

January 2013

18



How Familiar Are You With The Types Of Data Collected By Third-Party Tools



Findings

- Small companies lack privacy and security behaviors
 - Free or quick tools needed
 - Usable tools needed
- Small company developers rely on social ties for advice
 - Opportunities for intervention in social networks
- Legalese hinders reading and writing of privacy policies
- Third-Party tools heavily used
 - Third-party tools should be explicit about data handling

Questions?

balebako@cmu.edu

Privacy Policies Are Not Considered Useful

"I haven't even read [our privacy policy]. I mean, it's just legal stuff that's required, so I just put in there." – P4

Developers have time and resource constraints

 "I don't see the time it would take to implement that over cutting and pasting someone else's privacy policies.... I don't see the value being such that that's worth it."

-P10

Privacy and security behaviors

Behavior	Percent
Use SSL	83.8%
Encrypt data on phone	59.6%
Encrypt data in database	53.1%
Encrypt everything (all data collected)	57.0%
Revenue from advertising	48.2%
Have CPO or equivalent	78.1%
Privacy Policy on website	57.9%

Ad and analytics

Ad or analytic provider	percent
Google analytics	82%
Google ads	64%
Flurry analytics	17%
No ads	13%
No analytics	13%

Advice



Percentage of respondents who consulted each resource

- My social network (Friends, former colleagues)
- Developers within my company
- Other member of my company
- Lawyer outside my company
- No one

- Developers from meetups or groups related to my work
- Privacy/Security specialist within my company
- Lawyer within my company
- Trade association
- Other