

Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation using Software Defined Networking

Authors: *Rishikesh Sahay*, Gregory Blanc, Zonghua Zhang, Hervé Debar

NDSS Workshop on Security of Emerging Networking Technologies (SENT 2015), San Diego, California, US, 8th February 2015





- Key Observations and Motivation
- Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation
- Our Proposed Framework
- Related Works
- Conclusion and Future Work





- Key Observations and Motivation
 - DDoS Attack
 - Key Observation about DDoS
 - Main Attack Vectors
 - Survey of DDoS Mitigation Schemes
 - Lack of Autonomic Properties
- Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation
- Our Proposed Framework
- Related Works
- Conclusion and Future Work





DDoS Attacks

 Exhaust resources of a target, by flooding the target with spurious packets.







Key Observations about DDoS

- DDoS attacks have become shorter but stronger.
- Average attack bandwidth was up 72 percent.
- Reflection and amplification attack have become more popular.
- 46 percent increase in the Infrastructure attack.

Source: Prolexic Quarterly Global DDoS Attack Report Q2 2014





Main Attack Vectors

Attack Category Break-Out



Source: Worldwide Infrastructure Security Report, Arbor Special Report 2014.





- Capability Based Technique[3]: Capability token is used for secure communication.
- Congestion Based Technique[4]: Traffic is rate limited based on given threshold.
- Packet Marking Techniques[5]: A mark is inserted in the IP packets by the routers to reconstruct the path from victim to the attack source.
- Stateful Policy Technique[6]: Stateful mitigation policy is specified to redirect the DDoS traffic to the middlebox.





Problems in Existing Schemes

- States to be maintained at the routers and switches.
- Additional devices to be deployed at every routers and switches.
- IDs or mark should be maintained at every routers.
- Information to be coordinated from different devices deployed at different locations in the network.
- Middleboxes should be deployed statically in the network.







Lack of Autonomic Properties

	Self-configuration	Self-optimization	Self-healing	Self-protection
Capability-based DDoS technique	×	V	×	V
Congestion based technique	×	V	×	V
Packet marking	×	V	×	V
Stateful policy technique	×	V	V	V





- Key Observations and Motivation
- Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation
 - Autonomic DDoS Mitigation Requirements
 - SDN: Architecture
 - SDN: Towards Autonomic Properties
- Our Proposed Framework
- Related Works
- Conclusion and Future Work





Autonomic DDoS Mitigation Requirements

- It should provide on demand DDoS Mitigation.
- Correlate the information from different devices in the network.
- Network resources should be optimised.
- Four autonomic properties(Self-configuration, optimization, healing, protection) should be preserved.
- Labor cost should be minimized.





SDN: An Overview



Source:Software Defined Networking:The New Norms for Networks. ONF White Paper, 2012.





SDN:Towards Autonomic Properties

Logically Centralized Intelligence

Flexible Path Management

On-demand Resource Allocation





- Key Observations and Motivation
- Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation
- Our Proposed Framework
 - Design Assumptions in Framework
 - Proposed Architecture
 - Use Case
- Related Works
- Conclusion and Future Work



Basign Assumptions in Framework

- DDoS mitigation framework is distributed across the ISP and customer network.
- Security API is provided by the ISP to the customer to request for the on demand DDoS mitigation.
- DDoS detection module is running in the customer network and generates the security alerts.





Proposed Framework







Use Case







- Distributed Denial of Service Attack and Mitigation
- Towards Autonomic DDoS Mitigation
- Our Proposed Framework
 - Design Assumptions in Framework
 - Proposed Architecture
 - Use Case
- Related Works
- Conclusion and Future Work





DefenseFlow:Industry Product



Source: DefenseFlow: The SDN Application that Program Network for DoS Security, 2013.





DrawBridge



- **C** : a DrawBridge controller
- 📓 : a web server flooded by DDoS traffic
- s→: a switch with traffic going through

Source: J.Li ,DrawBridge: Software-defined DDoS-resistant Traffic Engineering,in *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM Conference on SIGCOMM*. ACM, 2014.





Conclusion and Future Work

- We will implement the major components of the framework.
- We will evaluate the framework on its scalability on handling large number of requests from customers.
- We will also evaluate the response latency in redirecting the suspicious flow to the middleboxes.





References

- [1]. Prolexic Quarterly Global DDoS Attack Report Q2 2014.
- [2]. Worldwide Infrastructure Security Report, Arbor Special Report 2014.
- [3]. Abraham Yaar, SIFF: A Stateless Internet Flow Filter to Mitigate DDoS Flooding Attacks, in Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 2004.
- [4]. J.Ioannidis, Implementing Pushback: Router Based Defense Against DDoS Attacks, *in Proceedings of Network and Distributed Security Symposium (NDSS)*, 2002.
- [5].A.Yaar, Pi: A Path Identification Mechanism to Defend against DDoS Attacks, in Security and Privacy, 2003.
- [6]. A.Mahimkar, dFence:Transparent Network-based Denial of Service Mitigation, in Proceedings of the 4th USENIX Conference on Networked Systems Design Implementation (NSDI),2007





References

- [7]. Software Defined Networking: The New Norms for Networks. ONF White Paper, 2012.
- [8].DefenseFlow:The SDN Application that Program Network for DoS Security, 2013.
- [9]. J.Li ,DrawBridge: Software-defined DDoS-resistant Traffic Engineering, in Proceedings of the 2014 ACM Conference on SIGCOMM. ACM, 2014.
- [10]. Seyed Kaveh Fayazbakhsh, FlowTags: Enforcing Network-Wide Policies in the Presence of Dynamic Middlebox Actions, in *HotSDN*, 2013.





Acknowledgment

The research project (NECOMA) is funded by Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communication Japan and by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme. The link of the project is:

http://www.necoma-project.eu/





Thanks for your Attention

