Indiscreet Logs: Diffie-Hellman Backdoors in TLS

Kristen Dorey

Nicholas Chang-Fong

Aleksander Essex

Western University, Canada



NDSS 2017 February 28, 2017 Discrete logarithms are "easy" in smooth order groups... if you know the factorization of the group order.

What if you don't know the group order? What if it was hidden somehow?

Discrete logarithms are "easy" in smooth order groups... if you know the factorization of the group order.

What if you don't know the group order? What if it was hidden somehow?

The discrete logarithm problem *could* be hard. Or it could be easy. So which one is it?

What if it was manufactured to be easy, and only the attacker can tell?

Outline

Exploiting Small and Smooth Order Subgroups







Composite Modulus:

Mistake...or trapdoor?

Can't tell unless you factor modulus

Vulnerability

The Vulnerability

- Composite DH moduli used in TLS and STARTTLS
- 30+ countries
- 20+ companies

Implications of Trapdoors

- Shared secret recovery
- Passive eavesdropping
- Traffic modification



How Is This Possible?

Systematically poor parameter validation by discrete logarithm implementations

Primality not checked Why not?

Primality testing is "math fast" but not "Internet fast"

Chrome Connection

Subgroup of order 3





Private Key = 1

Forcing DHE

DHE used in <1% TLS connections but still (somewhat) widely supported

Small subgroups allow attacker to compute master secret

"Downgrade" attack to force DHE ciphersuites for TLS 1.2 and below

Downgrade protections in TLS 1.3 prevent this attack

Getting Weak Parameters Used Three attack vectors

1. Drop onto server



Weak DH Parameters



With Root Access

Getting Weak Parameters Used Three attack vectors

2. Incorporate into open-source project



Getting Weak Parameters Used Three attack vectors

3. Install onto network appliance before shipment



Company Employee

How Many Weak Parameters Did We Find?

43M IPs using HTTPS, 11M supporting DH

Composite Moduli

- 280 IPs in HTTPS
- 272 IPs in IMAPS, POP3S, SMTPS, SMTP
- Private key recoveries up to 42% of length



How Many Weak Parameters Did We Find?

*Valenta et al. in NDSS 2017

43M IPs using HTTPS, 11M supporting DH

Composite Moduli

- 280 IPs in HTTPS
- 272 IPs in IMAPS, POP3S, SMTPS, SMTP
- Private key recoveries up to 42% of length

Non-safe Prime Moduli*

- 1.6M IPs in HTTPS
- Private key recoveries up to 50% of length



Disclosures



Companies

- 56% fixed vulnerability
- 19% in progress
- 25% unchanged

Solutions

- Change to prime moduli
- Remove DHE ciphersuites

How Do We Stop It From Occurring?

• Deprecating DH ciphersuites



- Verifying DH parameters correctly
- Use named parameters like for ECDHE
- Sign all previously exchanged messages in ServerKeyExchange

Takeaway Points

Composite moduli of unknown order exist on the Internet today

Could be trapdoored moduli allowing man-in-the-middle attacks, or could just be benign carelessness

We can't tell and they can't say

Thank You! Questions?

