

Strong Authentication without Tamper-Resistant Hardware and Application to Federated Identities

Zhenfeng Zhang**, Yuchen Wang*, Kang Yang

* Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences; * The Joint Academy of Blockchain Innovation; State Key Laboratory of Cryptology

Presenter: Long Chen (New Jersey Institute of Technology)





Shared Credential Authentication

- Mechanism has dominated the realm of authentication for decades
 - e.g., password (weak authentication)
 - User's credentials stored in centralized repositories at servers
 - Explicitly transferred from user to server
- The shared credentials can be stolen in batches or captured
 - From breached centralized repositories
 - Through phishing attacks







Strong Authentication

- Strong authentication cryptographic identification protocol
 - A claimant proves its identity to a verifier via challenge-response
 - The claimant demonstrates the knowledge of secret keys with crypto
 - Secret keys are not transferred over the channels, eliminate the risks
- Mechanisms can be built with symmetric-key/public-key cryptos
 - The claimant generates a MAC value on a challenge with a secret-key
 - The claimant digitally signs a challenge message with a private-key
 - e.g., HMAC and ECDSA algorithms





How to Store Secret-keys for Strong Authentication?

- **Tamper-resistant hardware modules**
 - Highly recommended by FIDO and W3C
 - FIDO Universal Authentication Framework
 - W3C Web Authentication Specification
- The issues with a tamper-resistant hardware module
 The module becomes another thing to be remembered to carry
 The secret would lost if the module/device is broken or lost
 - Decrease usability of the strong authentication scheme





How to Store Secret-keys for Strong Authentication?

- The adversary's capabilities
 - Obtain PW-wrapped credentials
 - Capture authentication tokens
- The security goals
 - Off-line dictionary attacks are infeasible
 - Existential forgery of an authentication token is infeasible





Model for strong-auth without tamper-resistant hardware modules



How to Store Secret-keys for Strong Authentication?

symmetric-key crypto (MAC) / public-key crypto (DSA)





- Off-line attacks under the model against strong authentication with

Strong Authentication with Password-based Credentials

The Registration Phase

The Authentication Phase

The Secure Construction of Password-based Credential





 $\sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}(uid, pw, [cre]_{pw}, m)$

 $\{0,1\} \leftarrow \mathsf{Verify}(\mathsf{sk},\mathit{uid},m,\sigma)$



- Setup algorithm
- Key Generation algorithm
- **I**ssue algorithm

The Sign Algorithm

The Verify Algorithm





• Setup (1^{λ}) : The algorithm chooses a set of group parameters (\mathbb{G}, p, g) with p a 2λ -bit prime, outputs $pp = (\mathbb{G}, p, g)$.

KeyGen(pp): It picks γ ← Z^{*}_p and computes w ← g^γ. The server sets sk ← γ and Reg ← Ø, publishes isp ← w.

• $\mathsf{Issue}(\gamma, Reg) \rightleftharpoons (uid, pw)$ is executed between the server and a user over TLS.

- 1) The server aborts if $uid \in Reg$. Otherwise it computes $cre \leftarrow A = g^{1/(\gamma+uid)}$ and sends cre to the user.
- 2) The user encrypts its credential cre = A by computing $[A]_{pw} \leftarrow A \cdot H_{\mathbb{G}}(pw)$, and then stores $[A]_{pw}$.



- Setup algorithm
- Key Generation algorithm
- Issue algorithm

The Sign Algorithm

- randomize-then-prove
- SPK can be standardized signature algorithms **[ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018] The Verify Algorithm**





A LAND PORT



 $\sigma \leftarrow \text{Sign}(uid, pw, [cre]_{pw}, m)$

$$\{0,1\} \leftarrow \mathsf{Verify}(\mathsf{sk},\mathit{uid},m,\sigma)$$

• Sign $(uid, pw, [A]_{pw}, m)$: the algorithm decrypts $[A]_{pw}$ by computing $A \leftarrow [A]_{pw}/H_{\mathbb{G}}(pw)$. Then, it chooses $a \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and randomizes A as $T \leftarrow A^a$, and generates a signature proof of knowledge w.r.t T as

$$\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m).$$

Finally, it outputs an authentication token $\sigma \leftarrow (T, \pi_T)$.

- Setup algorithm
- Key Generation algorithm
- Issue algorithm
- The Sign Algorithm * randomize-then-prove SPK can be standardized signature algorithms [ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018] **The Verify Algorithm**





A Land Preside



 $\sigma \leftarrow \text{Sign}(uid, pw, [cre]_{pw}, m)$

 $\{0,1\} \leftarrow \mathsf{Verify}(\mathsf{sk}, uid, m, \sigma)$

• Sign $(uid, pw, [A]_{pw}, m)$: the algorithm decrypts $[A]_{pw}$ by computing $A \leftarrow [A]_{pw}/H_{\mathbb{G}}(pw)$. Then, it chooses $a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and randomizes A as $T \leftarrow A^a$, and generates a signature proof of knowledge w.r.t T as

$$\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m).$$

Finally, it outputs an authentication token $\sigma \leftarrow (T, \pi_T)$.

- Setup algorithm
- Key Generation algorithm
- Issue algorithm

The Sign Algorithm

The Verify Algorithm





 $\sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}(\mathit{uid}, \mathit{pw}, [\mathit{cre}]_{\mathit{pw}}, m)$

 $\{0,1\} \leftarrow \mathsf{Verify}(\mathsf{sk},\mathit{uid},m,\sigma)$

• Verify (γ, uid, m, σ) : the algorithm parses σ as (T, π_T) and computes $PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$, if $T \neq 1$. It then returns the outputs of Verify_{SPK} $((g, PK), m, \pi_T)$.

Note that $T^{\gamma+uid} = g^a$, hence the claimer who has the secret a also holds T^{-a} , which has the form $g^{1/(\gamma+uid)}$.



- Setup algorithm
- Key Generation algorithm
- Issue algorithm

The Sign Algorithm

The Verify Algorithm





 $\sigma \leftarrow \mathsf{Sign}(uid, pw, [cre]_{pw}, m)$

 $\{0,1\} \leftarrow \mathsf{Verify}(\mathsf{sk},\mathit{uid},m,\sigma)$

• Verify (γ, uid, m, σ) : the algorithm parses σ as (T, π_T) and computes $PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$, if $T \neq 1$. It then returns the outputs of Verify_{SPK} $((g, PK), m, \pi_T)$.

Note that $T^{\gamma+uid} = g^a$, hence the claimer who has the secret a also holds T^{-a} , which has the form $g^{1/(\gamma+uid)}$.



Security Model of PBC and Provable Security

Experiment $\operatorname{Exp}_{PBC}^{EUF-CMVA}(\mathcal{A})$ $pp \leftarrow Setup(1^{\lambda}); (sk, isp) \leftarrow KeyGen(pp).$ CMVA security of PBC scheme Π_{PBC} who runs in time t, and $RUpw, RUcred, Q \leftarrow \emptyset.$ makes q_s queries to the SIGN oracle and q_v queries to the For each $i \in [n]$, $pw_i \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{D}$, and VERIFY oracle. Then, we have: $[cre_i]_{pw_i} \leftarrow \mathsf{Issue}(\mathsf{sk}, Reg) \rightleftharpoons (uid_i, pw_i).$ $(uid^*, m^*, \sigma^*) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{pp}, \mathsf{isp}, \{uid_i\}_{i=1}^n, \mathsf{SIGN}, \mathsf{VERIFY},$ REVEALPW, REVEALCRED). If Verify(sk, uid^* , m^* , σ^*) = 0, return 0. If $uid^* \notin Reg$, return 1. If $uid^* = uid_{i^*} \in Reg$, then • If $(i^*, m^*) \in Q$, return 0. where $\operatorname{Adv}_{SPK}(t', q_s, q_v) = \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Adv}_{SPK}^{uzk}(t', q_s) + \operatorname{Adv}_{SPK}^{ss-ext}(t', q_s))$ • If $i^* \in RUpw \cap RUcred$, return 0. (q_s, q_v) , $t' = t + O((q_s + q_v)t_{exp})$, $t'' = O(t' + n^2 t_{exp})$, and • If $i^* \notin RUcred$, return 1. t_{exp} denotes the time for one exponentiation. • If $i^* \in RUcred \land i^* \notin RUpw$, return 2.



Theorem 1: Let \mathcal{A} be an adversary against the sEUF-

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Adv}_{\Pi_{\mathsf{PBC}},\mathit{case-1}}^{sEUF\text{-}\mathit{CMVA}}(\mathcal{A}) &\leq \mathsf{Adv}_{\mathsf{SPK}}(t',q_s,q_v) + \\ & (q_v+1)(\mathsf{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathsf{SDH}}(t'',n+1) + n\mathsf{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathsf{SDH}}(t'',n)), \\ \mathsf{Adv}_{\Pi_{\mathsf{PBC}},\mathit{case-2}}^{sEUF\text{-}\mathit{CMVA}}(\mathcal{A}) &\leq \frac{q_v}{|\mathcal{D}|} + \mathsf{Adv}_{\mathsf{SPK}}(t',q_s,q_v) + \\ & (q_v+1)\mathsf{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathsf{SDH}}(t'',n+1) + n\mathsf{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathsf{DDHI}}(t'',n), \end{split}$$



Strong Authentication with Password-based Credentials

- Implementation of PBC-based strong authentication
 - Common cryptographic libraries
 - Standardized elliptic curves, not require pairing-friendly curves
 - OpenSSL, Bouncy Castle, sjcl,...
 - Mainstream programming language, e.g., C/C++, Java, JavaScript,...
 - Across devices, e.g., mobile and desktop
 - PBC-backup for devices broken or lost
 - Cross device backup
 - Cloud server backup





Strong Authentication with Password-based Credentials

- **Deployment of PBC-based authenticator and AUTH**
 - PBC authenticators deployed with
 - OS API (e.g., Android's Keystore)
 - Browser API (e.g., W3C's AuthAPIs)
 - PBC-AUTH for both C/S and B/S architecture
 - Server (Protect key with hardware)
 - Client (i.e., Application)
 - Browser Extension











- Identity federation: SAML 2, OAUTH 2.0, OpenID Connect
 - FAL-3: holder-of-key assertion (HoKA), a reference to a key held by a user, RP requires the user to prove possession of the key (PoPK)
- Holder-of-key assertion mechanisms via certificates
 - Require tamper-resistant hardwares to protect the private keys
 - IdP cannot both preserve the privacy of users and support HoKA
- Holder-of-key assertion mechanisms via PBCs
 - Without requirement of tamper-resistant hardware for users
 - Support privacy-preserving HoKA and PoPK





Holder-of-Key Assertion & Proof-of-Possession of Key with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. IdP calculates $PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$, and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, PK)$. Then, it signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

 $\pi_{PK} = \mathsf{SPK}'\left\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \wedge T^{-uid} \cdot PK = T^{\gamma}\right\}(\cdot)$

• *Proof-of-Possession of Key.* The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key a w.r.t to PK, by calculating

 $\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\{(a) : g^a = PK\}(n_R)$







Holder-of-Key Assertion & Proof-of-Possession of Key with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma + uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. IdP calculates $PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$, and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, PK)$. Then, it signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

 $\pi_{PK} = \mathsf{SPK}'\left\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \land T^{-uid} \cdot PK = T^{\gamma}\right\}(\cdot)$

• Proof-of-Possession of Key. The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key a w.r.t to PK, by calculating $\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\{(a) : g^a = PK\}(n_R)$





Holder-of-Key Assertion & Proof-of-Possession of Key with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a): g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. IdP calculates $PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$, and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, PK)$. Then, it signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

 $\pi_{PK} = \mathsf{SPK}'\left\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \wedge T^{-uid} \cdot PK = T^{\gamma}\right\}(\cdot)$

• Proof-of-Possession of Key. The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key a w.r.t to PK, by calculating

$$\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\{(a) : g^a = PK\}(n_R)$$





Privacy-Preserving Holder-of-Key Assertion & PoPK with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma + uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. The IdP calculates $\tilde{PK} = T^{\gamma}$ and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, \tilde{PK})$, then signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

 $\pi_{\tilde{PK}} = \mathsf{SPK}'\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \land \tilde{PK} = T^{\gamma}\}(\cdot)$

• Proof-of-Possession of Key. The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key w.r.t to \tilde{PK} , with a privacypreserving authentication token:

 $\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}''\{(a, uid) : T^{-uid} \cdot g^a = P\tilde{K}\}(n_R)$





Privacy-Preserving Holder-of-Key Assertion & PoPK with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma + uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. The IdP calculates $P\tilde{K} = T^{\gamma}$ and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, P\tilde{K})$, then signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

$$\pi_{\tilde{PK}} = \mathsf{SPK}'\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \land \tilde{PK} = T'$$

• Proof-of-Possession of Key. The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key w.r.t to \tilde{PK} , with a privacypreserving authentication token:

 $\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}''\{(a, uid) : T^{-uid} \cdot g^a = P\tilde{K}\}(n_R)$





Privacy-Preserving Holder-of-Key Assertion & PoPK with PBCs

• User-IdP Authentication. The user authenticates to IdP with a valid authentication token $\sigma = (T, \pi_T)$ s.t.

 $\pi_T \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}\left\{(a) : g^a = PK\right\}(m) \text{ for } PK = T^{\gamma+uid}$

• Holder-of-Key Assertion. The IdP calculates $\tilde{PK} = T^{\gamma}$ and sets assertion $\leftarrow (T, \tilde{PK})$, then signs assertion by generating a signature proof of knowledge:

$$\pi_{\tilde{PK}} = \mathsf{SPK}'\{(\gamma) : w = g^{\gamma} \land \tilde{PK} = T$$

• *Proof-of-Possession of Key.* The user generates a proof-ofpossession of the private-key w.r.t to \tilde{PK} , with a privacypreserving authentication token:

 $\pi_R \leftarrow \mathsf{SPK}''\{(a, uid) : T^{-uid} \cdot g^a = P\tilde{K}\}(n_R)$





Performance Evaluation

AUTH-x strong authentication, x-ECDSA/PBC with/without tamperresistant hardware at user-end

	token/assertion	token/assertion	LAN	WAN			
	generation	verification		30ms	60ms	90ms	120ms
AUTH-ECDSA	$272.4^{\dagger*}$	1.1	300.1^{+*}	$342.4^{\dagger *}$	$376.2^{\dagger *}$	390.1^{+*}	432.3^{+*}
AUTH-PBC	187.5 †	1.0	192.4^{\dagger}	224.9^{\dagger}	250.6^{+}	284.3^{\dagger}	319.5^{\dagger}
PoPK-ECDSA	271.1^{+*}	1.1	$305.4^{\dagger*}$	$334.3^{\dagger *}$	$370.8^{\dagger *}$	400.6^{+*}	$425.3^{\dagger *}$
PoPK-PBC	100.6 [†]	1.0	125.0^{\dagger}	149.7†	188.8^{\dagger}	219.0 [†]	250.2^{\dagger}
PoPK-PBC'	167.3^\dagger	1.0	190.5^\dagger	223.7^\dagger	245.2^\dagger	281.1^\dagger	314.2^\dagger
HoKA-ECDSA	0.7	1.0	3.3	34.7	65.2	93.9	124.5
HoKA-PBC	2.1	2.4	5.1	38.3	69.4	98.7	129.0
HoKA-PBC'	2.0	1.9	5.0	37.2	68.8	98.4	127.1





Conclusions and Take-aways

- Strong authentication without tamper-resistant hardware modules
 - Highly practical construction from PBCs
 - Resistant against offline attacks & token-forgery attacks
- **Federated identity system from PBCs**
 - User-IdP strong authentication
 - (Privacy-preserving) holder-of-key assertion
- User-friendly and easy-to-implement
 - On general-purpose devices, via common programming languages
 - Authenticator backup in case of devices broken/lost



Thanks for the attention !

* Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences; * The Joint Academy of Blockchain Innovation; State Key Laboratory of Cryptology





- **Strong Authentication without Tamper-Resistant** Hardware and Application to Federated Identities
 - Zhenfeng Zhang**, Yuchen Wang* and Kang Yang
 - Contact: zhenfeng@iscas.ac.cn, yuchenwang@tca.iscas.ac.cn