





#### LAMP: Lightweight Approaches for Latency Minimization in Mixnets with Practical Deployment Considerations

Mahdi Rahimi, Piyush Kumar Sharma and Claudia Diaz

# Metadata Security

Diffie & Landau – 'Privacy on the line':

*"Traffic analysis, not cryptanalysis, is the backbone of communications intelligence."* 

NSA General Counsel Stewart Baker:

"Metadata absolutely tells you everything about somebody's life. If you have enough metadata, you don't really need content."



### Mixnets

- Perturb traffic by mixing and shuffling packets
  - Stronger protection
- Threat Model: Global Adversary
- Problem: High latency
  - Limits the kind of applications that could be supported





# Latency Minimization

- Reducing latency facilitates wider application usage
  - Better privacy protection for end users
- **Mixing latency:** fundamental trade-off
- **Propagation Latency:** indirectly affects anonymity





# **Previous Work**

- LARMix (Rahimi et. al. NDSS'24)
  - Reduces propagation latency
- Provided a set of approaches for different stages of a mixnet
  - Arrangement (diversification algorithm)
  - Routing
  - Balancing





# LARMix Challenges

- 1. LARMix's computation grows exponentially with the size of the network
- 2. Does not minimize latency from the client to the mixnet
- 3. Requires considerable changes to the original mixnet design





# **Practical Deployment Considerations**

- Nym: the largest realistic deployment of a mixnet
- For deploying latency minimization approaches
  - Lightweight
  - Easy to implement and integrate
    - Minimum codebase changes





#### LAMP Problem Statement

*How to minimize latency in continuous mixnets while not significantly impacting anonymity* 

Lightweight & easy to integrate in existing deployments



# Key ideas

- Random arrangement of nodes to layers
  - Saves computation
  - Minimizes codebase changes
- Create routing approaches with simpler designs
  - Require only a subset of the global network for routing policy computation
- Consider minimizing latency from the client to the last layer
  - Initially done from first to last layer
  - Balancing not possible





# Key ideas

- Random arrangement of nodes to layers
  - Saves computation
  - Minimizes codebase changes
- Create routing approaches with simpler designs
  - Require only a subset of the global network for routing policy computation
- Consider minimizing latency from the client to the last layer
  - Initially done from first to last layer
  - Balancing not possible



# LAMP Routing

**1. Single Circle** 

2. Multiple Circles

3. Regional



# Single Circle

Step1: Client measures latency to all mixnodes

Step2: Forms a circle of radius 'r' with 'r' being the latency bound

#### Step3: Client creates a path among nodes within the latency bounded circle Multiple ways of creating a path

Constraint: Minimum ' $\alpha$ ' % of mixnodes have to be part of the circle























Colors represent different layers: Layer 1 (blue), Layer 2 (yellow), Layer 3 (green)



### Multiple Circle





#### Multiple Circle



- Communication Link

#### $c_1c_2c_3$ : Multiple Circles



#### **Regional Mixnets**



— Communication Link



# Evaluation

- Metrics
  - Latency
  - Anonymity (Entropy): High entropy  $\rightarrow$  High anonymity
  - Tradeoff: latency/anonymity
- Variables (For SC & MC)
  - Routing within the circle (random, proportional, larmix), α
- Experiments
  - Latency vs r
  - Entropy vs r
  - Effect of  $\alpha$
  - Effect of network size
  - Effect of client traffic rate



# Evaluation

- Metrics
  - Latency
  - Anonymity (Entropy): High entropy  $\rightarrow$  High anonymity
  - Tradeoff: latency/anonymity
- Variables (For SC & MC)
  - Routing within the circle (random, proportional, larmix), α
- Experiments
  - Latency vs r
  - Entropy vs r
  - Effect of  $\alpha$
  - Effect of network size
  - Effect of client traffic rate



#### Dataset

- Use real mixnode latency dataset from deployed Nym network
- VERLOC (USENIX Sec'21) protocol used for consistent latency measurement



#### Results: MC





#### Results: MC





#### **Results: Regional**





### **Results: Regional**





# **Overall Comparison**





# Summary

- Present LAMP, an approach to minimize latency in mixnets
  - With practical deployment considerations
- Develop three novel routing approaches
  - Single circle, Multiple circles, Regional mixnets
- Perform realistic evaluation on the deployed mixnet: Nym
  - Obtain superior tradeoffs than the state-of-the-art
  - 3x better Anonymity-Latency tradeoff
  - Supported by theoretical analysis for larger scale
- Conducted a thorough security analysis
  - Corrupt a subset of mixnodes (randomly, single location, worst case)
  - Measure fraction of corrupted paths (FCP)
  - LAMP does not give away significant advantage











# **Appendix Slides**



# Security Analysis

- Adversary
  - Corrupt a subset of mixnodes (randomly, single location, worst case)
- Metrics
  - Fraction of Corrupted Paths
- Variables
  - Corruption rate
  - Value of r
  - Value of  $\alpha$



#### **Evaluation: Results**





#### Security Analysis: Results



