

# **On Building the Data-Oblivious Virtual Environment**

Tushar M. Jois, Hyun Bin Lee, Christopher W. Fletcher, Carl A. Gunter

*Learning from Authoritative Security Experiment Results (LASER) Workshop February 25, 2021* 





# da·ta-o·bliv·i·ous com·pu·ta·tion (n.)

a program execution with the same observable characteristics regardless of the inputs provided see also constant-time programming *Key insight:* 

# data-oblivious → data-unnecessary







*Our goal:* 

# Design the first data-oblivious R stack.



# A soft selective sweep during rapid evolution of gentle behaviour in an Africanized honeybee

Arian Avalos, Hailin Pan, Cai Li, et al. Nature Communications 2017 8(1) 1550

## **I** ILLINOIS

College of Agricultural, Consumer & Environmental Sciences



# Why use the bee study?

- A real, publicly-available dataset (1.3 GB)
- Similar to human genomics workloads
- Cross-university collaboration
- R code from a repository of genomics scripts



● ◆ ^ ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts: Handy genetics-related R scripts — Mozilia	Firefox 7
ekfchan/evachan.org-Rs∈ × +	
→ C 🏠 🔽 🔒 https://github.com/ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts	··· 🖂 🔂 🖪 🕞 » 🗉
Search or jump to 7 Pulls Issues Marketplace Explore	Ģ +• €•
	26 % Fork 14
<> Code ① Issues ३७ Pull requests ⊙ Actions Ⅲ Projects □ Wiki ③ Sec	urity 🗠 Insights
P master      ✓ Code      Code	About
ekfchan Now allows missing or absent REF allele (response to 📟 on Nov 21, 2019 🕄 18	Handy genetics-related R scripts
rscripts Now allows missing or absent REF allele (respon 15 months ago	🛱 Readme
LICENSE Initial commit 6 years ago	ৰ্ট্ৰু GPL-2.0 License
README.md         Added information from #1 to the README.md.         5 years ago	
README.md	Releases No releases published
Handy R functions for genetics research	Packages
Originally hosted at http://evachan.org/rscripts.html, these R functions were initially	No packages published

## A case study for evaluating the data-obliviousness of R

# Experimentally evaluating data-obliviousness

calc\_snp\_stats ← function(geno)



# Instructions in compiled binary

Two types of problematic instructions:

- Variable-time instructions
- Conditional jumps on sensitive data

# On Subnormal Floating Point and Abnormal Timing

Marc Andrysco, David Kohlbrenner, Keaton Mowery, et al. *IEEE S&P (Oakland) 2015* 

> Conditional jumps must **NOT** touch sensitive data

## Instructions from libfixedtimefixedpoint

d	mov	рор	setg
d	movabs	push	setl
11	movsd	rep	setle
qe	MOVSX	ret	setne
р	movsxd	sar	shli
l	MOVZX	sbb	shr
	mul	seta	sub
р	neg	setae	test
е	not	setbe	xor
a	or	sete	

ad

an

ca

cd

CM

mu ie

# **Instruction count**



#### Recorded 1278564 instructions in 84466 functions (0 gaps)

# Intel Performance Counter Monitor (PCM)

## cycle counts

getCycles
getCyclesLostDueL3CacheMisses
getCyclesLostDueL2CacheMisses

## bytes to/from memory controller

getBytesReadFromMC
getBytesWrittenToMC
getIORequestBytesFromMC

## cache hits & misses

getL2CacheHitRatio getL3CacheHitRatio getL3CacheMisses getL2CacheMisses getL2CacheHits getL3CacheHitsNoSnoop getL3CacheHitsSnoop getL3CacheHits

```
calc_snp_stats ← function(geno)
{
    ## Eva KE Chan
```

```
## http://evachan.org
```

m	$\leftarrow$	nrow(geno)	##	number	of	snps
n	$\leftarrow$	ncol(geno)	##	number	of	individuals

NA

Similar to null in other languages

```
n0 \leftarrow apply(geno=0,1,sum,na.rm=T)

n1 \leftarrow apply(geno=1,1,sum,na.rm=T)

n2 \leftarrow apply(geno=2,1,sum,na.rm=T)

n \leftarrow n0 + n1 + n2
```



#### ## (snip) ##

## Instructions in compiled binary

## Conditional branches on data

## Intel PCM



## **Instruction count**

Expression	Value	Instr. Count
080	0	45
081	0	45
0 & NA	0	45
180	0	47
NA & 0	0	47
NA & 1	NA	53
NA & NA	NA	53
1 & 1	1	54
1 & NA	NA	57

### geno[(geno $\neq 0$ ) & (geno $\neq 1$ ) & (geno $\neq 2$ )] $\leftarrow$ NA



# **R** interpreter



Solution design
Build a data-oblivious virtual environment
Correctness
Data-obliviousness
Instructions in compiled binary

Instruction count

Intel PCM

Expressiveness

Efficiency

# **Solution design**

## **Build a data-oblivious virtual environment**

**Correctness** 

Data-obliviousness

Instructions in compiled binary

Instruction count

Intel PCM

Expressiveness

Efficiency



#### ecall\_dispatch();

instr\* t = parser.get\_next();
p\_block\* result = alloc\_result\_matrix(t);
line\_dispatch(t,result);

line\_dispatch(instr\* t, p\_block\* result);

vector<p\_block\*> args = t←args();
Op\* operation = op\_factory(t←name);
operation→call(args[0], args[1], result);

AddOp::call(p\_block\* A, B, C);

for (i, j in  $0:C \rightarrow nrow$ ,  $1:C \rightarrow ncol$ )

call(A[i,j], B[i,j], C[i,j]);

AddOp::call(fixed\* A\_ij, B\_ij, C\_ij);

\*C\_ij = fix\_add(\*A\_ij, \*B\_ij);

Instruction fetch

Argument loading

Iteration over data pointers in matrix

Operation on scalars

#### ecall\_dispatch();

· Data-

obliviousness

Leaf

Function

should be

: tested here

instr\* t = parser.get\_next();
p\_block\* result = alloc\_result\_matrix(t);
line\_dispatch(t,result);

line\_dispatch(instr\* t, p\_block\* result);

vector<p\_block\*> args = t←args(); Op\* operation = op\_factory(t←name); operation→call(args[0], args[1], result);

AddOp::call(p\_block\* A, B, C);

for (i, j in  $0:C \rightarrow nrow$ ,  $1:C \rightarrow ncol$ )

call(A[i,j], B[i,j], C[i,j]);

AddOp::call(fixed\* A\_ij, B\_ij, C\_ij);

\*C\_ij = fix\_add(\*A\_ij, \*B\_ij);

Instruction fetch

Argument loading

Iteration over data pointers in matrix

Operation on scalars

# **Side-channels in leaf functions**



### **Instruction count**

TESTS Testing Abs (1/45)... Testing Abs, ratio 0.1... Testing Abs, ratio 0.2...

Passed

### **Intel PCM**



## geno[(geno $\neq 0$ ) & (geno $\neq 1$ ) & (geno $\neq 2$ )] $\leftarrow$ NA

## Intel PCM (Base R)



## Intel PCM (DOVE)



## **Build a data-oblivious virtual environment**

**Correctness** 

Data obliviousness

Instructions in compiled binary

Instruction count

Intel PCM

Expressiveness

Efficiency



	ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts: Handy genetics-related R scripts — Mozilla	Firefox	
ekfchan/evachan.org-Rsc	× +		
) > C' 🍙 🔽 🖴	https://github.com/ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts	··· 🖂 🔂 🚺 » 🗉	
Search or jump to	Pulls Issues Marketplace Explore	¢ +• �•	
ekfchan / evacha	n.org-Rscripts ③ Watch マ 6	ਨੂੰ Star 26 <b>ਦ</b> ਾ Fork 14	
<> Code (!) Issues	1 Pull requests ③ Actions 🛄 Projects 🖽 Wiki ① See	curity 🗠 Insights	
°9 master →	Go to file	About	
ekfchan Now allow:	missing or absent REF allele (response to 🔤 on Nov 21, 2019 🕤 18	Handy genetics-related R scripts	
rscripts	🛱 Readme		
	₫ GPL-2.0 License		
README.md	Added information from #1 to the README.md. 5 years ago		
		Releases	
README.md		No releases published	
Handy R fun	ctions for genetics research	Packages	
Originally hosted at	http://evachan.org/rscripts.html, these R functions were initially	No packages published	

sin tan
%/% >
! all
is.nan
matrix dim

# **Solution design**

## **Build a data-oblivious virtual environment**

**Correctness** 

Data obliviousness

Instructions in compiled binary

Instruction count

Intel PCM

Expressiveness

Efficiency



# **Solution design**

## **Build a data-oblivious virtual environment**

**Correctness** 

Data obliviousness

Instructions in compiled binary

Instruction count

Intel PCM

**Expressiveness** 

Efficiency

https://github.com/dove-project/benchmarks

- Did you use experimentation artifacts borrowed from the community?
- Did you attempt to replicate or reproduce results of earlier research as part of your work?
- What can be learned from your methodology and your experience using your methodology?
- What did you try that did not succeed before getting to the results you
- Did you produce any intermediate results including possible unsuccessful tests or experiments?
- Did you share experimentation artifacts with the community?

- Did you use experimentation artifacts borrowed from the community?
- Did you attempt to replicate or reproduce results of earlier research as part of your work?
- What can be learned from your methodology and your experience using your methodology?
   The purper stream strea

unsuccessful tests or experiments

• Did you share experimentation artifacts with the community?

- Did you use experimentation artifacts borrowed from the community?
- Did you attempt to replicate or reproduce results of earlier research as part of your work?
- What can be learned from your methodology and your experience using your methodology?
- What did you try that did not succeed before getting to the results you
- Did you produce any intermediate results including possible unsuccessful tests or experiments?
- Did you share experimentation artifacts with the community?

# Intermediate results: data-obliviousness

- Fisher test is used in script to measure deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium
- Originally a part of external library, didn't test it, but clearly wrong assumption
  - When we started to look at it, saw it failed our instruction tests -- factorials
  - Rewrote it to use front-end primitives -worse performance, but security guaranteed (and smaller TCB)
- Insecure (4.9x overhead) to secure (315x overhead)

$$p = \frac{\binom{a+b}{a}\binom{c+d}{c}}{\binom{n}{a+c}} = \frac{(a+b)! \ (c+d)! \ (a+c)! \ (b+d)!}{a! \ b! \ c! \ d! \ n!}$$

# Intermediate results: expressiveness

- Original DOVE design required end-users to modify their R code before a DOT was generated
- Not a good design
  - restricts expressiveness to what the user knows how to write using DOVE
  - Might as well learn a new language
- Created an automator that instruments R base functions & structures to use DOVE counterparts
  - No need to manually write DOVE

# Original version (works in current DOVE)
geno[(geno≠0) & (geno≠1) & (geno≠2)] ← NA
geno ← as.matrix(geno)
n0 ← apply(geno=0,1,sum,na.rm=T)
n1 ← apply(geno=1,1,sum,na.rm=T)
n2 ← apply(geno=2,1,sum,na.rm=T)

#### # Pre-automation version geno ← +geno geno[(geno≠C\_0) & (geno≠C\_1) & (geno≠C\_2)] ← NA

- $n0 \leftarrow rowSums(geno=C_0,na.rm=T)$
- n2 ← rowSums(geno=C\_2,na.rm=T)

# Intermediate results: efficiency

- Originally didn't have for loops
  - Applications used apply, rowSums, and similar
- Applications that used loops had awful performance
  - Loops would just get unrolled
  - DOT became size *O*(*n*)
- Performance made us realize that loops were important enough
  - apply wasn't enough
  - So, we implemented it

Script	Overhead before for	Overhead after for
allele_sharing	295x	105x
EHHS*	<u>1246x</u>	189x
iES*	<u>1204x</u>	154x
LD*	<u>220x</u>	18x

- Did you use experimentation artifacts borrowed from the community?
- Did you attempt to replicate or reproduce results of earlier research as part of your work?
- What can be learned from your methodology and your experience using your methodology?
- What did you try that did not succeed before getting to the results you
- Did you produce any intermediate results including possible unsuccessful tests or experiments?
- Did you share experimentation artifacts with the community?

## https://github.com/dove-project/benchmarks

ldove-project/benchmarks: the benchmarks code and results for DOVE — Mozilla Firefox ↑ dove-project/benchmark × +								
$\dot{\leftarrow} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{\hat{u}} \ 0 \ \mathbf{\hat{u}} \ \text{https://github.com/dove-project/benchmarks} \qquad \cdots \ \mathbf{\nabla} \ \mathbf{\hat{x}} \ \mathbf{\underline{s}} \ \mathbf{\hat{v}} \ \mathbf{\hat{s}} \ \hat{$								
Search or jump to	Search or jump to // Pull requests Issues Marketplace Explore							
🖟 dove-project / bei	nchmarks			⊙ Watch ▼ 1	☆ Star 0 양 Fork 0			
Code () Issues	🕅 Pull requests 🕑 Actions	🖑 Projects 🕮 Wiki 🛈 Security	n 🗠 Insights 🕸 Settings					
	양 main - 양 1 branch 📀	0 tags	Go to file Add file ▼ 👲 Code マ	About	\$ <sup>3</sup>			
	Byun Bin Lee removing extra	lines	2cda539 16 hours ago 🕚 4 commits	the benchmarks code and results for DOVE				
	📄 dynamic	initial commit	2 days ago	benchmark				
	src src	initial commit	2 days ago	🛱 Readme				
	static	removing extra lines	16 hours ago	ৰ্বায় MIT License	i i			
		initial commit	2 days ago					
	README.md	initial commit	2 days ago	Languages				
	README.md	• Python 96.6% • GDB 3.4%						
	Introduction							
	This repository provides scri You can read more about th appeared in NDSS 2021.	pts to run benchmarks that we used for is in our academic research paper, DOV						
	This is <b>research code</b> , and h something!	e. That being said, if you see something, say						
	Running the benc	hmarks						

# **Future work**

## **Future plans**

- Extend DOVE to more languages and frameworks
- Implement data-oblivious performance
   enhancement
- Understand what data-oblivious hardware instructions can support a system like DOVE

## Post-workshop paper

- Review systematically the R side channels we discovered
- Re-run all benchmarks using most modern versions of the stack
  - New versions of libraries, R interpreter
- Several runs of the same benchmarks
  - Variance between benchmarks
- Look into performance on other enclaves, if possible



https://github.com/dove-project/benchmarks

```
# µArch Vulnerable
# Assume x1, x2 are private
```

```
if (x1 && x2) {
    y = 1;
} else {
    y = 0;
}
```

# Fixed (under assumptions)

$$y = x1 & x2;$$

**Execution Trace** 





Fig. 13: Absolute runtimes and sizes of the evaluation programs. Programs marked with an \* were run on a reduced dataset due to test system limitations. Program iES calls EHHS, so we include the lines of code from EHHS when measuring lines of code for iES. FE are measurements for frontend, NEBE are for measurements with backend without SGX, and EBE are for the backend with SGX. F indicates the use of libFTFP, the data-oblivious floating point arithmetic library that we used on our DOVE implementation. LoC stands for Lines of Code for the original R program whereas DOT size represents the size of the counterpart DOT file in bytes. Finally, the DOT overhead represents the relative overhead of the DOT's file size relative to the size of the original R program.

Program	Vanilla R (s)	FE (s)	NEBE (s)	NEBE w/ F (s)	EBE w/F (s)	LoC (lines)	DOT size (bytes)	DOT Overhead
EHHS*	18.9	3.85	1104.43	2131.65	3575.46	40	1538	0.51
iES*	23.48	6.43	1106.34	2161.95	3625	15 + 40	159853	105.44
LD*	1787.58	3.64	2869.48	9040	32264	54	5610	0.98
allele_sharing	283.41	5.6	650.03	1841.28	29733	12	419	0.28
hwe_chisq	38.48	4.56	113.98	262.23	853.49	21	5295	4.35
hwe_fisher	690.2	4.98	141425	154194	234054	12	10287	3.92
neiFis_multispop	85.85	16.88	111.82	278.42	1077.44	38	5311	4.09
neiFis_onepop	39.13	4.9	55.85	192.53	764.38	19	7381	2.43
snp_stats	692.73	11.21	142783	155840	236644	33	1980	1.35
wcFstats	55.27	8.21	79.38	186.27	757.38	35	6624	1.58
wcFst_spop_pairs	74.05	15.43	206.55	458.26	1343.51	45	18606	5.21